



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BUSH INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
C/O CPA GLOBAL
P.O. BOX 52050
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

MAILED

OCT 19 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Diana J. Parsons	:	
Application No. 10/735,362	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: December 12, 2003	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)
Attorney Docket No. parsons 3	:	

This is a decision on the petition, filed August 26, 2009, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant nonprovisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. *See* 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is **DISMISSED** as inappropriate for the reasons stated below.

The record discloses that, on December 12, 2003, the date of filing of the instant application, a Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) was filed certifying that "the invention disclosed in the above-referenced patent **application has not and will not** be the subject of an application filed in another country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication at eighteen months after filing."

Petitioner now requests under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(ii) that the Request and Certification Under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) be rescinded and the application revived because this application became abandoned for failure to notify the Office within 45 days of the filing of a corresponding international or foreign application. In this regard, petitioner states that the subject application is a CIP application of U.S. Application No. 10/047,335, filed on January 14, 2002, which is the subject of a PCT application (PCT/US02/27570), filed on August 28, 2002, which date is prior to the date of filing the instant application.

The instant nonprovisional application did not become abandoned as a result of the filing of a corresponding application filed in another country, or under a multilateral international agreement, **subsequent** to the filing of the present application. In this regard, 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) states:

An applicant who has made a request under clause (i) but who **subsequently files, in a foreign country or under a multilateral international agreement** specified in clause (i), an application directed to the invention disclosed in the application filed in the Patent and Trademark Office, shall notify the Director of such filing not later than 45 days **after the date of the filing of such foreign or international application**. A failure of the applicant to provide such notice within the prescribed period shall result in the application being regarded as abandoned, unless it is shown to the satisfaction of the Director that the delay in submitting the notice was unintentional [emphasis supplied].

The facts of this case are that the subject application was filed on December 12, 2003, and the corresponding foreign application was filed on August 28, 2002. The statute does not provide for the situation where a certification under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) was made, despite the fact that an application was previously filed in another country or under the multilateral international agreement. The statute at 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) only provides for revival in the situation where a certification was made under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) at the time of filing the application and an application was subsequently filed in a foreign country without notifying the Office within 45 days of the filing thereof.

In view of the above and since this application did not become abandoned pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii), a petition to revive under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(f) is inappropriate and must be dismissed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) has been rescinded. A Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request which sets forth the projected publication date of January 28, 2010 accompanies this decision on petition.

The rules and statutory provisions governing the operations of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office require payment of a fee on filing each petition. See 35 U.S.C. § 41(c)(7). Accordingly, the petition fee of \$810.00 will not be refunded.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 1611 to await a reply to the final Office action mailed June 25, 2009.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Joan Olszewski at (571) 272-7751.

/Liana Walsh/
Liana Walsh
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

ATTACHMENT: Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING OR 371(C) DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
10/735,362	12/12/2003	Diana J. Parsons	parsons 3

CONFIRMATION NO. 1804

NONPUBLICATION RESCISSION
LETTER



40198
BUSH INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
c/o CPA Global
P.O. Box 52050
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Date Mailed: 10/16/2009

**Communication Regarding Rescission Of
Nonpublication Request and/or Notice of Foreign Filing**

Applicant's rescission of the previously-filed nonpublication request and/or notice of foreign filing is acknowledged. The paper has been reflected in the Patent and Trademark Office's (USPTO's) computer records so that the earliest possible projected publication date can be assigned.

The projected publication date is 01/28/2010.

If applicant rescinded the nonpublication request before or on the date of "foreign filing,"¹ then no notice of foreign filing is required.

If applicant foreign filed the application after filing the above application and before filing the rescission, and the rescission did not also include a notice of foreign filing, then a notice of foreign filing (not merely a rescission) is required to be filed within 45 days of the date of foreign filing. See 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii), and Clarification of the United States Patent and Trademark Office's Interpretation of the Provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(ii)-(iv), 1272 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 22 (July 1, 2003).

If a notice of foreign filing is required and is not filed within 45 days of the date of foreign filing, then the application becomes abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii). In this situation, applicant should either file a petition to revive or notify the Office that the application is abandoned. See 37 CFR 1.137(f). Any such petition to revive will be forwarded to the Office of Petitions for a decision. Note that the filing of the petition will not operate to stay any period of reply that may be running against the application.

Questions regarding petitions to revive should be directed to the Office of Petitions at (571) 272-3282.

¹ Note, for purpose of this notice, that "foreign filing" means "filing an application directed to the same invention in another country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing".

/jolszewski/

Office of Data Management, Application Assistance Unit (571) 272-4000, or (571) 272-4200, or 1-888-786-0101